









Interact workshop SELECTION CRITERIA

Online, 19 May 2022

Lessons learnt from IT-AL-ME in 2014-2020

MA/JS of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Chiara Campanile JS Project Officer



Content related to TARGETED CALL process



- 1- Assessment process: what, who, how
- 2- Preparation for decision-making: role of the SC
- **3- Decions-making:** voting at MC
- 4- Impartiality









- Targeted call started with JS gap analysis in 2018
- Analysis adopted at MC
- Additional targeted criteria in the call (ie. addressing to one of the missing topics for each S.O.; capitalization actions; specific links to the EUSAIR action plan

ACCEPTANT	WORKED WELL	TO BE IMPROVED
	Gaps from 1° call in some themes were identified & filled in through second call	Gaps identifed by external evaluators
	Gaps in the partner types filled in	





- JS checks eligibility of Italian partners (check-list)
- AL-ME Contact points (NIPs) check eligibility of respective national partners
- MC approves list of eligible / ineligible projects

WORKED WELL	TO BE IMPROVED
Checking according to national origin	More automatic checks / blocks in JEMS
List of errors, which CAN NOT be corrected and lead to rejection	Eligibility check once x each organization (customized by JEMS)





- JS officers responsible for quality assessment
- 4-eye principle: each project through 2 officers
- NIPs assess in parallel (simplified approach)
- Internal technical-scientific experts, if needed

1	WORKED WELL	TO BE IMPROVED
	Cooperative work between JS/NIPs	NIPs liability
	Simplified internal procedure x experts	





- Strategic criteria = 57 points (incl. specific targeted criteria),
- Operational criteria = 43 points
- Sustainability criteria = 13 points
- NIPs focus on partners capacity / national strategies-impacts
- Only points for each criteria / no justification in ranking list for SC/MC

1	WORKED WELL	TO BE IMPROVED
	Technical assesment ensured	Common understanding of cross border character

2- Preparing decisions /1 Steering Committee



- Steering Committee appointed by MC, acting on its mandate (small group) on desk assessment & Physical panel between JS/SC (1 day) to explain and exchange views
- **One criteria (20 pts):** Globally, the project complies with national and regional policies, its actions and outputs precisely address concrete needs of the territories, and it involves a suitable partnership, capable to reach the expected impacts

WORKED WELL	TO BE IMPROVED
Accountability / transparency of SC: 20 points possible in addition to JS/NIPs points in the ranking list	
Cooperative approach at SC depending on personalities	Training actions
Questions answered at SC meeting by assessors	interested only on few pjs.

3– Decision making / 1 Monitoring Committee



- Monitoring Committee has the final word
- In reality: Accepting previous assessments by JS-NIPs-SC, approving ranking list
- One strategically important project (Tirana capital of youth 2022) received 1 additional point

WORKED WELL	TO BE IMPROVED
Cooperation feeling during the MC	
Technical Conditions x few proposals	

4- Impartiality



- Assessors + MC were reminded they have to act in the JOINT interest of the programme area
- All persons concerned signed "impartiality declaration"
- Cases of potential "biased" positions were declared:



- MC: The member refrained from voting
- Assessor: The projects were swapped with other unbiased assessors















Contacts

Chiara CAMPANILE

South Adriatic

Project Officer c.campanile.js@regione.puglia.it www.italy-albania-montenegro.eu

