

INTERREG Case Study 4 – intervention rate exercise

Programme: Poland/Wales INTERREG IIIA

Priority/Measure: Support for community environmental schemes

Measure Financial table:

TOTAL	€	Total Public	ERDF	Public funds	Average rate % - measure	Private funds
6,000,000		6,000,000	4,500,000	1,500,000	75%	0

Project title: Protecting the environment in our communities

Project description: This project brings together the communities of Eglwys Wrw and Hłomcza in a joint initiative to encourage voluntary groups to protect the local environment. Activities will include the creation of community gardens and a small local nature reserve, litter picking sessions, workshops on the protection of the environment and generally raising awareness in the community of environmental issues.

Project finance:

Poland		€	Wales		€
Co-financing	Public cash	0		Public cash	0
	Public in kind	1,125		Public in kind	1,125
	Private cash	0		Private cash	0
	Private in kind	500		Private in kind	500
ERDF		3,375	ERDF		3,375
Total eligible cost Poland		5,000	Total eligible cost Wales		5,000

Project costs:

Poland		€	Wales		€
Staff volunteer costs		1,500	Staff volunteer costs		1,500
Administration		1,000	Administration		1,000
Travel and subsistence		1,000	Travel and subsistence		1,000
Publicity		1,000	Publicity		1,000
Donated equipment and materials		500	Donated equipment and materials		500
Totals		5,000	Totals		5,000

Total eligible costs: €5,000

Total ERDF grant requested: €3,375

Issues to discuss:

1. ERDF requested is 75% of public costs, therefore OK. **but** actual costs are 3,000 i.e. administration, travel and subsistence and publicity. Therefore grant needs to be adjusted to 3,000.
2. Clarity needed as to the link between the in kind costs and the project finance.
3. In kind costs need to be measurable according the Eligibility regulations.
4. Is it acceptable to have private funds in a measure which has no private funding in the measure financial table?
5. Is it acceptable to have all the co-financing in kind?